



AGENDA REPORT

PROPOSED ACTION: Resolution: Approve and Authorize the Executive Director to Execute Professional Services Agreements with AECOM Technical Services, Inc. and RS&H California, Inc., or the Next Ranked Vendor, for On-Call Airport Pavement Engineering Design Services at the Oakland San Francisco Bay Airport with an Aggregate Maximum Compensation for All Agreements Not to Exceed \$12,000,000, for Three-Year Terms Renewable Up to Two Years; and Find that the Proposed Action is Exempt Under the California Environmental Quality Act. **(Engineering)**

Submitted By: Emilia Sánchez, Director of Engineering; Kristi McKenney, Executive Director

Parties Involved:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc., Oakland, CA
RS&H California, Inc., Oakland, CA

Amount: \$12,000,000 – Total not to exceed combined amount of contracts (capital and operating expense)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: On-call contracts are an essential tool for performing certain Port of Oakland (Port) work. The Port has numerous engineering and architectural projects planned as the Port seeks to replace and rehabilitate aging infrastructure. Port Staff have recently conducted a formal competitive procurement process, for on-call airport pavement engineering design services (APEDS) at the Oakland San Francisco Bay Airport to support this work. AECOM and RS&H are the top two ranked firms. The total contract authorization amount reflects the overall scope and number of planned projects as well as flexibility for new projects that may emerge during the term.

BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

On-call contracts are essential tools in delivering the Port’s projects and programs. Each project is separately authorized by a formal technical service order with multiple layers of approval in accordance with all Port policies and best practices. Port Staff anticipates a variety of APEDS projects, including airfield pavement rehabilitations on runways, taxiways, aprons, and vehicle service roads. To best meet the demand for APEDS anticipated in the next three years, Port Staff completed a formal competitive procurement process for these services.

On February 11, 2026, the Port issued requests for qualifications (RFQ) for on-call APEDS. On March 25, 2026, the Port received seven proposals for APEDS. The statement of qualifications (SOQ) were reviewed and scored based on the criteria set forth in the RFQ, as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1
Proposals for APEDS Scoring Criteria**

Item	Criteria	Points
1	Key Personnel	25
2	Experience	35
3	General Approach	40
	Total	100

The top four firms were invited to interviews to clarify and support information provided in their submissions. Following the interviews, the firms received a final rank, based on their written proposal and the interview. The final ranking, including the number of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) and Small Businesses included on their teams, is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2
Final Consultant Ranking**

Rank	Consultant	City	DBE#	Small Business #
1	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	Oakland, CA	2	8
2	RS&H California, Inc.	Oakland, CA	1	2
3	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Oakland, CA	0	4
4	Burns & McDonnell Western Enterprises, Inc.	Brea, CA	1	6
5	Mead & Hunt, Inc.	Windsor, CA	0	3
6	Wood Rodgers, Inc.	Oakland, CA	1	1
7	C&S Engineers, Inc.	San Diego, CA	0	1

Based on the SOQs and subsequent interviews, Port Staff recommends entering into contract with the top two firms for an aggregate total contract amount not-to-exceed \$12,000,000.

OTHER FINDINGS AND PROVISIONS

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed action was analyzed under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and was found to be:

- Categorically exempt under the following CEQA Guidelines Section:

Choose an item.

- Not a "Project" under CEQA, as defined in Public Resources Code § 21065.
- "Common Sense" exemption under CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).
- Other/Notes:

The proposed Board Action is only for awarding on-call contracts to the selected firms. Each individual project requiring discretionary action by the Board would be reviewed for CEQA prior to initiation.

BUDGET

- Administrative (No Impact to Operating, Non-Operating, or Capital Budgets); OR
- Operating Non-Operating Capital

Analysis: No budget impact is anticipated as all work performed under these on-call contracts will draw on authorized capital and operating expense budgets including reprioritizing work if needed for urgent or emergency instances.

STAFFING

- No Anticipated Staffing Impact.
- Anticipated Change to Budgeted Headcount.

Reason:

- Other Anticipated Staffing Impact (e.g., Temp Help).

Reason:

<p><u>MARITIME AND AVIATION PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT (MAPLA):</u></p> <p><u>Applies?</u> No (Not Aviation or Maritime CIP Project) – proposed action is not covered work on Port’s Capital Improvement Program in Aviation or Maritime areas above the threshold cost.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Additional Notes:</u> <i>To the extent that the services provided under a Professional Services Agreement fall within the craft jurisdiction of the unions signatory to the MAPLA (such as field surveying, on-site soils and material testing, and inspection) the MAPLA provisions will apply to any Instance of Work whose dollar value exceeds \$150,000.</i></p>	<p><u>LIVING WAGE</u> (City Charter § 728):</p> <p><u>Applies?</u></p> <p>Yes (Service Provider) – proposed action entails at least \$50,000 in payments to service provider (and/or its subcontractor) employing at least 21 employees who spend at least 25% of their time on Port-related work on premises owned or managed by the Port</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Additional Notes:</u> Determinations for each individual firm may vary depending on how much of their team they assign to work at the Port.</p>								
<p><u>SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES:</u></p> <p><u>Applies?</u> No.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u> Sustainable opportunities on Projects are considered during the Design Phase and are part of those actions.</p>	<p><u>GENERAL PLAN</u> (City Charter § 727):</p> <p><u>Conformity Determination:</u></p> <p>Not Required – conformity determination not required because proposed action does not change use of or make alterations to an existing facility, or create a new facility.</p>								
<p><u>STRATEGIC PLAN.</u> The proposed action would help the Port achieve the following goal(s) and objective(s) in the Port’s Strategic Business Plan:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Grow Net Revenues</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modernize and Maintain Infrastructure</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve Customer Service</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Pursue Employee Excellence</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Strengthen Safety and Security</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Serve Our Community</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Care for Our Environment</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> Grow Net Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modernize and Maintain Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve Customer Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Pursue Employee Excellence	<input type="checkbox"/> Strengthen Safety and Security	<input type="checkbox"/> Serve Our Community	<input type="checkbox"/> Care for Our Environment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grow Net Revenues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modernize and Maintain Infrastructure								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve Customer Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Pursue Employee Excellence								
<input type="checkbox"/> Strengthen Safety and Security	<input type="checkbox"/> Serve Our Community								
<input type="checkbox"/> Care for Our Environment									