



AGENDA REPORT

PROPOSED ACTION: Resolution: Approve and Authorize the Executive Director to Execute an Agreement with the City of Oakland for Police Patrol Services in the Hegenberger Road and 98th Avenue Airport Corridors for Up to Twelve Months for a Maximum Compensation Not to Exceed \$325,000 and Finding That the Proposed Action is Exempt Under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Submitted By: Craig Simon, Director of Aviation; Kristi McKenney, Executive Director

Parties Involved: City of Oakland, Jestin D. Johnson, City Administrator

Amount: \$325,000 (operating expense)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The Port of Oakland (Port) previously executed agreements with the City of Oakland (City) to provide police patrol services in the Hegenberger Road and 98th Avenue corridors between Interstate Highway 880 and Oakland San Francisco Bay Airport (OAK) using only available overtime and Port funding to ensure no impact to other City police services. These police patrol services are instrumental in deterring crime and keeping passengers, employees, and visitors, travelling to and from OAK safe and secure. Port Staff recommends continuing to contract with the City to provide police patrol services in these two corridors through January 2027.

BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS

Through agreements between the City and Port dated December 17, 2024, and April 1, 2025, the Port contracted with the City to provide police patrol services in the two main corridors connecting Interstate Highway 880 and OAK to deter crime and provide enhanced safety for passengers, visitors, and employees using and working at OAK. The frequency and location of patrols are coordinated between the Oakland Police Department (OPD) and the Port's Aviation Security Department. OPD provides these services using officers on overtime only as available so as to not impact any other OPD services to the City. All services rendered are paid by the Port. The latest agreement is set to expire on January 31, 2026.

Port Staff recommends continuing to contract with the City / OPD to provide police patrol services in these two main corridors through January 2027. Continued police presence will deter criminal activity and assist in keeping passengers, visitors, and employees using and working at OAK safe and secure and support safety in the area. All police services will be coordinated by the Port's Aviation Security Department. The Port will continue to reimburse the City for the actual direct overtime cost of police services rendered with no additional costs. The cost is estimated not to exceed \$325,000.

OTHER FINDINGS AND PROVISIONS

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed action was analyzed under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and was found to be:

☐ Categorically exempt under the following CEQA Guidelines Section:

Choose an item.

☒ "Common Sense" exemption under CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3).

☐ Other/Notes:

BUDGET

☐ Administrative (No Impact to Operating, Non-Operating, or Capital Budgets); OR

☒ Operating

☐ Non-Operating

☐ Capital

Analysis: These costs were not included in the adopted FY 2026 budget; however, no budget impact is anticipated due to underspending in other areas. Costs for FY 2027 will be reflected in future budget requests.

STAFFING

☒ No Anticipated Staffing Impact.

☐ Anticipated Change to Budgeted Headcount.

Reason:

☐ Other Anticipated Staffing Impact (e.g., Temp Help).

Reason:

MARITIME AND AVIATION PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT (MAPLA):

Applies? No (Not Aviation or Maritime CIP Project) – proposed action is not covered work on Port's Capital Improvement Program in Aviation or Maritime areas above the threshold cost.

☐ Additional Notes:

LIVING WAGE (City Charter § 728):

Applies?

No (Not Covered Entity) – proposed action involves entity not covered by Living Wage requirements because it is not a covered service provider or tenant, does not employ at least 21 employees, or receive from or pay to Port at least \$50,000.

☐ Additional Notes:

SUSTAINABLE OPPORTUNITIES:

Applies? **No.**

GENERAL PLAN (City Charter § 727):

Conformity Determination:

<p><u>Reason:</u> There is no proposed development that would allow for sustainability opportunities.</p>	<p>Not Required – conformity determination not required because proposed action does not change use of or make alterations to an existing facility, or create a new facility.</p>
<p><u>STRATEGIC PLAN.</u> The proposed action would help the Port achieve the following goal(s) in the Port's Strategic Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Capture Our Market and Grow the Economic Base <input type="checkbox"/> Modernize and Upgrade Infrastructure <input type="checkbox"/> Transition to Zero-Emissions and Build Climate Resilience <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maximize Land Use Value and Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Workforce Training and Jobs Development <input type="checkbox"/> Create Opportunities for Local Businesses and Community Economic Development 	