

Alameda Labor Council, AFL-CIO



Send all correspondence to: Executive Secretary-Treasurer Josie Camacho, CWA 39521

Josie Camacilo, CWA 3952.

President

David Connolly, SUP

1st Vice President

Martha Kuhl, CNA

2nd Vice President

Gary Jimenez, SEIU 1021

Executive Committee

Doug Bloch, IBT JC 7 Jazy Bonilla, IUPAT DC 16 Cheryl Brown, AFSCME DC 57 Greg Bonato, IBEW 595 Cathy Campbell, AFT 1078 Vickie Carson, IFPTE 21 Andreas Cluver, BCTC Don Crosatto, IAM 1546 Adolph Felix, IBT 853 Keith Gibbs, CWA 9412 Eugenia Gutierrez, SEIU-USWW Mike Henneberry, UFCW 5 Wei-Ling Huber, Unite Here 2850 Terry Keller, OPEIU 29 Ben Kim, IAFF 689 Brian Lester, IUOE 3 Maricruz Manzanarez, AFSCME 3299 Jennifer Root, SEIU ULTCW Shawn Stark, IAFF 55 Hunter Stern, IBEW 1245 Obray Van Buren, UA 342 Yvonne Williams, ATU 192 Cindy Zecher, CSEA 27

Trustees

William Schechter, IAM 1546 Christine Garrett, IUPAT 3 Joyce Lau, OPEIU 29

Sergeant at Arms

Garry Horrocks, IAM 1546

Board of Port Commissioners 530 Water St. Oakland, CA 94607 May 6, 2015

Dear Port Commissioners:

On behalf of the Alameda Labor Council, AFL-CIO composed of 109 affiliated local unions representing 100,000 members in transportation, construction, healthcare, education, manufacturing and service, I am writing to urge you to uphold the appeals filed by UNITE HERE Local 2850 and the Carpenters Trust Funds and deny the development permit for the proposed Springhill Suites at 195 Hegenberger Road.

Many or our members work for the Port of Oakland at the Oakland Airport, drive Hegenberger Road on a daily basis, and frequent the businesses at the Airport Business Park. We do not believe the development of this hotel is in the interest of the Port or of the people of Oakland.

We understand there are several problems with the developer's proposal, including concerns about parking, traffic, and problems with the Environmental Impact Report, as well as the fact that it does not meet the Port's requirement that newly developed hotels be full-service hotels. Despite all the problems with the proposal, Port staff appears to be allowing the developer to take numerous shortcuts in terms of land-use requirements and environmental review. Given that the hotel does not fulfill the Hegenberger corridor's need for a full-service hotel, and given that it is unlikely to provide good jobs in either the construction or operation phases, or any other significant economic benefit, why bend over backwards to approve it?

With many of our members working in the area, and our office located on Hegenberger in the United Business Bank, we know as well as anyone how the proliferation of limited-service hotels has not made the area a more attractive place to do business. With only one full-service hotel, the Hilton Oakland Airport, the Hegenberger corridor does not live up to its potential as a gateway to Oakland and the Bay Area. Even if you accept the developer's claim that a small kitchen and few dining tables in the lobby meet the code's minimum requirement, this hotel does not provide what Oakland really needs, which is another hotel that can attract conferences, conventions, business meetings, etc., and help enhance Oakland's hospitality industry and overall economy.



Alameda Labor Council, AFL-CIO

The project offers very little in terms of either quantity or quality of jobs. With the restaurant added, it may create as many as 35 jobs, but there is no reason to believe that any of them will be good, stable, family-supporting jobs that will bring prosperity to Oakland's neighborhoods. There is no compelling reason to allow this project to take shortcuts with the Port's land use policies or the State's environmental regulations.

We urge you to do justice to the intent both of the Port's land-use requirements and of the environmental review process by reversing staff's approval of the Springhill Suites hotel.

Sincerely,

Josie Camacho

Executive Secretary Treasurer

Josie Carnacho

cc: Executive Committee

Wei-Ling Huber, UNITE HERE 2850