

CT OL

**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-48**

**RESOLUTION APPROVING CONSENT TO CHANGE IN  
CONTROL OF TASTE, INC. OPERATING AS VINO  
VOLO AND ASSIGNMENT OF SPACE/USE PERMIT  
FOR SPECIALTY RETAIL/WINE BAR CONCESSION  
FROM THE CURRENT SHAREHOLDERS TO HOJEIJ  
BRANDED FOODS, LLC.**

---

**WHEREAS**, the Board has reviewed and evaluated the Agenda Report Item No. 2.1 dated June 22, 2017 ("Agenda Report") and related agenda materials, has received the expert testimony of Port staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment;

**WHEREAS**, that in acting upon this matter, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report and in related agenda materials and in testimony received; and

**WHEREAS**, on July 7, 2009, the Board of Port Commissioners ("Board") approved the Space/Use Permit for Specialty Retail/Wine Bar Concession ("SUP") with Taste Inc. dba Vino Volo ("Vino Volo");

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** Based upon the information contained in the Agenda Report and testimony received, the Board hereby:

A. Consents to the change in control of Vino Volo and assignment of the SUP to Hojeij Branded Foods, LLC;

B. Waives the requirement of payment of Bonus Value contractually obligated for such consent; and

C. Authorizes the Executive Director to execute the appropriate documentation to effect the transaction, subject to approval as to form and legality by the Port Attorney.

**SECTION 2.** This resolution is not evidence of and does not create or constitute (a) a contract, or the grant of any right, entitlement or property interest, or (b) any obligation or liability on the part of the Board or any officer or employee of the Board. Unless and until a separate written agreement is duly executed on behalf of the Board as authorized by this resolution, is signed as approved as to form and legality by the Port Attorney, and is delivered to other contracting party, there shall be no valid or effective agreement.

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and  
President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0

**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-49**

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ANNUAL OPERATING  
AND CAPITAL BUDGETS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR  
ENDING JUNE 30, 2018.**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Port Commissioners ("Board") has reviewed and evaluated Board Agenda Report Item No. 4.2, dated June 22, 2017 ("Agenda Report") and related agenda materials, has received the expert testimony of Port of Oakland ("Port") staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment; and

**WHEREAS**, there has been presented to the Board a proposed Operating Budget for the Fiscal Year 2017-2018 (the "FY 18 Operating Budget") and a proposed Capital Budget for the Fiscal Year 2017-2018 (the "FY 18 Capital Budget") as set forth in the Budget Summary presented to the Board on June 22, 2017; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby adopts and approves the FY 18 Operating Budget, and hereby authorizes the payment of operating expenses, interest expenses and other expenses, and the payment of debt service of the Port as described in the Budget Summary attached as Attachment A to the Agenda Report; subject, as may be appropriate, to subsequent Board authorization of particular contracts for certain of such expenditures, as required by Port Ordinance 4321 (as amended), the Charter of the City of Oakland ("City Charter") and other requirements of the Board; and further subject, with respect to Lake Merritt and General Services payments to the City of Oakland, to the Board's determination of sufficient monies available to make such payments pursuant to applicable provisions of the City Charter, as well as receipt by the Port of sufficient documentation to make such payments; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby adopts and approves the FY 18 Capital Budget, and hereby authorizes the payment of approximately \$105.8 million of total capital expenses as provided in the FY 18 Capital Budget and as described in the Budget Summary; subject, as may be appropriate, to subsequent Board authorization of particular contracts for certain of such expenditures, as required by Port Ordinance 4321 (as amended), the City Charter and other requirements of the Board; and, be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby directs Port staff to submit to the Board monthly variance reports with respect to the FY 18 Operating Budget and quarterly variance reports with respect to the FY 18 Capital Budget; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby directs staff to file with the City Council, the City Administrator and City Auditor a certified copy of the budget as set forth in the City Charter; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby authorizes the Executive Director to take all necessary and appropriate actions to carry out the above actions; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that in acting upon the matters contained herein, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report and in related agenda materials and in testimony received.

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and  
President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0



**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-50**

RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE PLACEMENT OF CONTRACTOR'S POLLUTION LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR A THREE YEAR TERM COMMENCING ON JULY 14, 2017, AND ENDING ON JULY 14, 2020, WITH NAVIGATORS SPECIALITY INSURANCE COMPANY (WITH A POSSIBLE PAYMENT TO AMERICAN SPECIALTY INSURANCE CO. ("AIG") FOR AN ENDORSEMENT TO THE AIG POLICY EXTENDING COVERAGE FOR PROJECTS THAT ARE UNDERWAY AND NEARING COMPLETION) TO BE ARRANGED AND PAID THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES-EXCESS INSURANCE AUTHORITY AND ITS PRIME INSURANCE BROKER, ALLIANT INSURANCE SERVICES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$240,000.

---

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Port Commissioners ("Board") has reviewed and evaluated Agenda Report Item 4.3 dated June 22, 2017, and related agenda materials ("Agenda Report"), has received the expert testimony of Port of Oakland ("Port") staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment;

**WHEREAS**, that in acting upon this matter, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report, and in related agenda materials and in testimony received;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT**

**RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby approves and authorizes the placement of contractor's pollution liability ("CPL") insurance for a three year term commencing on July 14, 2017, and ending on July 14, 2020, with Navigators Specialty Insurance Company ("Navigators") (with a possible payment to American Specialty Insurance Co. ("AIG") for an endorsement to the AIG policy extending coverage for projects that are underway and nearing completion) to be arranged and paid through the California State Association of Counties-Excess Insurance Authority ("CSAC-EIA") and its prime insurance broker, Alliant Insurance Services, in an amount not to exceed \$240,000; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board hereby approves and authorizes the execution of any incidental documents necessary to implement the CSAC-EIA administration of the Navigators CPL policy; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Board finds that the placement of the Navigators CPL policy (and any necessary extension of the AIG CPL policy) as described herein was reviewed in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Air Quality Act ("CEQA"), and the Port CEQA Guidelines. The general rule in Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines states that CEQA applies only to activities that have a potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that taking the actions related to the Port's CPL insurance program will result in a physical change in the environment, and, therefore, they are not subject to CEQA and no further environmental review is required; and be it

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption by the Board.

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and

President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0

**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-51**

RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION PROJECT BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,955,000; AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING TO APPROVE THE PROJECT MANUAL AND PLANS; AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO AWARD CONTRACTS TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE RESPONSIVE BIDDER FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO ROOF REPLACEMENT PROJECTS AT SOUTH FIELD, OAKLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

WHEREAS, the Board of Port Commissioners ("Board") has reviewed and evaluated the Agenda Report Item 6.2 dated June 22, 2017 ("Agenda Report") and related agenda materials, has received the expert testimony of Port of Oakland ("Port") staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment; and

WHEREAS, that in acting upon this matter, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report, and in related agenda materials and in testimony received:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Based upon the information contained in the Agenda Report, and testimony received, the Board finds that:

A. It is in the best interest of the Port to approve and authorize construction project budget for the **Building M-130 Roof Rehabilitation Project ("M-130 Roof Project")** in an amount not to exceed \$2,475,000 and construction project budget for the Building M-911 Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting Facility ("**ARFF Roof Project**") in an amount not to exceed \$1,480,000, for a total construction project budget authorization of \$3,955,000.

B. It is in the best interest of the Port to authorize the **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project**.

C. The development and use provided for in the project manuals for **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project** and the subsequent use of the development approved by this resolution are in conformity with the General Plan of the City.



D. Pursuant to the Port Purchasing Ordinance, it is in the best interest of the Port to delegate to the Executive Director the authority to finally resolve all bid protests in connection with **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project**.

E. The proposed actions to approve construction project budget and award the **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project** were reviewed in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the Port CEQA Guidelines. The proposed actions are categorically exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 Existing Facilities, which exempts the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use.

**SECTION 2.** The Board hereby approves the following:

A. Authorizing construction project budget for the **M-130 Roof Project** in an amount not to exceed \$2,475,000, for a total project of \$2,860,000.

B. Authorizing construction project budget for the **ARFF Roof Project** in an amount not to exceed \$1,480,000, for a total project of \$1,700,000.

C. Delegation of authority to the Director of Engineering to approve the Plans and Project Manual for **M-130 Roof Project**.

D. Delegation of authority to the Director of Engineering to approve the Plans and Project Manual for **ARFF Roof Project**.

E. Award of a contract for construction of the **M-130 Roof Project** to the lowest responsible responsive bidder, Best Contracting Services, Inc. in a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$1,828,600.

F. Award of a contract for construction of the **ARFF Roof Project** to the lowest responsible responsive bidder, Western Roofing Service in a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$996,550.

G. Rejection of all other bids received for the **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project** and direction that securities accompanying said bids shall be returned to the respective bidders.

**SECTION 3.** The Board hereby authorizes the Executive Director to:

A. Finally resolve bid protests in connection with the **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project** pursuant to the Port Purchasing Ordinance.

B. Award of a contract for construction of the **M-130 Roof Project** to the lowest responsible responsive bidder, Best Contracting Services, Inc. in a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$1,828,600.



C. Award of a contract for construction of the **ARFF Roof Project** to the lowest responsible responsive bidder, Western Roofing Service in a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$996,550.

**SECTION 4.** The Board further finds that:

A. The Director of Engineering or his designee is authorized to approve the project manual and plans for the **M-130 Roof Project** in advance of construction, pursuant to Government Code Section 830.6.

B. The Director of Engineering or his designee is authorized to approve the project manual and plans for the **ARFF Roof Project** in advance of construction, pursuant to Government Code Section 830.6.

C. A bond for the faithful performance of the work, and a bond to guarantee the payment of all claims for labor and materials furnished and for amounts due under the Unemployment Insurance Code, each in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the contract price shall be provided by the Contractor for the **M-130 Roof Project** and the **ARFF Roof Project** as prescribed by applicable laws and regulations and the contract specifications.

D. The procedure prescribed by applicable laws, regulations and the contract specifications shall be taken for the execution of said contracts.

**SECTION 5.** This resolution is not evidence of and does not create or constitute (a) a contract, or the grant of any right, entitlement or property interest, or (b) any obligation or liability on the part of the Board or any officer or employee of the Board. This resolution approves and authorizes the execution of an agreement(s) in accordance with the terms of this resolution. Unless and until a separate written agreement(s) is duly executed on behalf of the Board as authorized by this resolution, is signed and approved as to form and legality by the Port Attorney, and is delivered to other contracting party, there shall be no valid or effective agreement(s).

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0

**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-52**

**RESOLUTION APPROVING AN EXCLUSIVE NEGOTIATING AGREEMENT WITH THE EAST BAY REGIONAL PARK DISTRICT FOR A LONG TERM GROUND LEASE OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1441-1551 EMBARCADERO ROAD, FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE CROWLEY SITE.**

---

**WHEREAS**, the Board has reviewed and evaluated the Agenda Report Item No. 6.5 dated June 22, 2017 ("Agenda Report") and related agenda materials, has received the expert testimony of Port staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment; and

**WHEREAS**, that in acting upon this matter, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report and in related agenda materials and in testimony received;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** The Board hereby finds and determines as follows:

A. The Port owns approximately 1.7 acres of a small rectangular parcel abutting the Oakland Estuary located at 1441-1551 Embarcadero Road (the "Property") which has been vacant for the past 15 years; and

B. In February 2017, the East Bay Regional Park District ("EBRPD") submitted a letter to the Port expressing interest in entering into an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement ("ENA") with the Port to allow EBRPD (i) negotiate a ground lease and (ii) assess the potential feasibility of developing a passive, regional recreational open space and trail staging area; and

C. The proposed ENA will be for a term of 9 months, terminating on April 1, 2018, unless substantial agreement is reached on the terms of a new ground lease and additional time is needed for EBRPD Board and/or the Port Board's approval, for which an additional 60 days is provided; and



D. The proposed ENA will require EBRPD to deposit with the Port \$13,800.00 upon execution of the ENA, which deposit will be refundable under certain circumstances and will be partially credited towards the first 3 months' rent if a ground lease is agreed upon and executed by the parties; and

E. Port staff recommends entering into the ENA because the Property is relatively small and has been vacant for numerous years, and the ENA may lead to future new revenues from the site as well as new improvements constructed on the Property; and

F. Based upon the information contained in the Agenda Report and testimony received, the Board hereby finds and determines that an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement with **the East Bay Regional Park District** is in the best interests of the Port; and

G. The proposed ENA has been evaluated pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and the CEQA Guidelines as adopted by the Port (the "CEQA Guidelines"). Section 15378(2) of the CEQA Guidelines states that a "Project" means the whole of an action that has a potential for resulting in either direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines provides the general rule that CEQA applies only to activities that have a potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that executing an ENA with EBRPD to (i) negotiate a ground lease and (ii) assess the potential feasibility of developing a passive, regional recreational open space and trail staging area will have a significant effect on the environment. Accordingly, the approval of the proposed ENA is not a "Project" under CEQA and is not subject to CEQA under the General Rule exclusion.

**SECTION 2.** The Board hereby:

A. Approves the proposed ENA with EBRPD as described hereinabove and in the Agenda Report; and

B. Authorizes the Executive Director to (i) execute the ENA, subject to approval as to form and legality by the Port Attorney, and (ii) make such minor additions, modifications, or corrections as necessary to implement the ENA or to correct errors, subject to the limitations set forth herein and provided that any such addition, modification or correction does not materially differ from the terms and conditions set forth herein and in the Agenda Report.



**SECTION 3.** This resolution is not evidence of and does not create or constitute (a) a contract, or the grant of any right, entitlement or property interest, or (b) any obligation or liability on the part of the Board or any officer or employee of the Board. Unless and until a separate written agreement is duly executed on behalf of the Board as authorized by this resolution, is signed as approved as to form and legality by the Port Attorney, and is delivered to other contracting party, there shall be no valid or effective agreement.

**SECTION 4.** This resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption by the Board.

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and  
President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0

**BOARD OF PORT COMMISSIONERS  
CITY OF OAKLAND**

**RESOLUTION NO. 17-53**

**RESOLUTION APPROVING RETENTION OF THE LAW FIRMS AND CONSULTING FIRMS DESCRIBED ON THE ATTACHED ATTACHMENT A IN CONNECTION WITH WORK DESCRIBED THEREIN AT A TOTAL INITIAL CUMULATIVE COMPENSATION NOT TO EXCEED \$4,825,000 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 (WITH ONE LAW FIRM PROVIDING SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 AND FISCAL YEAR 2018-19) SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO THE PORT ATTORNEY'S CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Port Commissioners ("Board") has reviewed and evaluated Agenda Report Item 6.6 dated June 22, 2017, and related agenda materials ("Agenda Report"), has received the expert testimony of Port of Oakland ("Port") staff, and has provided opportunities for and taken public comment;

**WHEREAS**, at the Board meeting of January 26, 2017, the Board approved the retention of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP ("Orrick") as Bond Counsel in connection with the possible 2017 Bond Refunding Transaction in the not to exceed amount of \$220,000. Board authority is requested to increase the contracting amount for Orrick by \$40,000 to \$260,000 to cover the increased scope of work based on the Port's evaluation of possible multiple series of tax-exempt and taxable bonds. The total contracting amount of \$4,825,000 being requested includes the additional \$40,000;

**WHEREAS**, during its Board meeting of May 18, 2017, the Board received certain attorney-client communications regarding the nature of the matters needing outside counsel and related consultant legal advice in Fiscal Year 2017-2018 and the extent of such outside legal advice ("Attorney-Client Information");

**WHEREAS**, that in acting upon this matter, the Board has exercised its independent judgment based on substantial evidence in the record and adopts and relies upon the facts, data, analysis, and findings set forth in the Agenda Report, and in related agenda materials and in testimony received;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION 1.** Based upon the information contained in the Agenda Report and testimony received, the Board hereby finds and determines that the agreements with **the law firms and consulting firms described on the attached Attachment A** constitute agreements for obtaining professional, technical, and specialized services that are temporary in nature and that it is in the best interest of the Port to secure such services from those parties listed on Attachment A and waiving competitive procurement requirements.

**SECTION 2.** The Board hereby:

A. Approves the appointment of **the law firms described on the attached Attachment A**, as Special Counsel, part-time, pursuant to the provisions of Section 6.05 of Port Ordinance No. 867, as well as the consulting firms described on Attachment A to render expert assistance to the Port Attorney in connection with the matters described on Attachment A; the compensation of and reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred by said Special Counsel and consultants to be made from time to time as approved by the Port Attorney, up to, but not to exceed the amounts per firm per matter as provided in the Attorney-Client Information (as well as the increase for Orrick as described in the Agenda Report), in the initial total cumulative amount of \$4,825,000 for Fiscal Year 2017-18 (with one law firm provided services in Fiscal Year 2018-19 as well), all subject to adjustment during Fiscal Year 2017-2018 by the Port Attorney for additional amounts or for additional firms under his contracting authority or by further Board action.

B. Authorizes the Port Attorney to i) execute the agreements with the law firms and consulting firms listed on Attachment A, and (ii) make such terms, additions, modifications, or corrections as necessary to enter into the agreements or to correct errors, subject to the limitations set forth herein and provided that any such terms, addition, modification, or correction does not exceed the authorized amounts or actions authorized herein and in the Agenda Report.

**SECTION 3.** This resolution is not evidence of and does not create or constitute (a) a contract, or the grant of any right, entitlement or property interest, or (b) any obligation or liability on the part of the Board or any officer or employee of the Board. Unless and until a separate written agreement is duly executed on behalf of the Board as authorized by this resolution, is signed as approved as to form and legality by the Port Attorney, and is delivered to other contracting party, there shall be no valid or effective agreement.

**FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption by the Board.

At the regular meeting held on June 22, 2017

Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Butner, Cluver, Martinez, Story, Yee and President Colbruno – 6

Excused: Commissioner Hamlin – 1

Noes: 0



**ATTACHMENT A  
PORT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
PROPOSED OUTSIDE COUNSEL CONSULTANT BUDGET  
FOR FY17-18**

<b>LAW FIRM/CONSULTING FIRM/COST ITEMS</b>	<b>MATTER NAMES</b>
<b>Anderson &amp; Krieger LLP (Cambridge, MA)</b>	
	Aviation Regulatory Matters
<b>Andrada &amp; Associates (Oakland)</b>	
	Personal Injury/Property Damage Claims/Litigation
<b>Archer Norris (Walnut Creek)</b>	
	Arreola v. Port
	Kane v. Port
	Personal Injury/Property Damage Claims/Litigation
<b>Baseline Environmental Consulting (Emeryville)</b>	
	Technical Expert Services on GASB 49 Cost Recovery Matters (Various Site)
	Environmental Compliance/GASB 49 Management
<b>Briscoe Ivester &amp; Bazel (San Francisco)</b>	
	Tideland Trust
<b>Bryan Cave (Chicago, IL)</b>	
	PRP Cost Recovery
	Amtrak Elevator Hydraulic Release
<b>Burke Williams &amp; Sorenson (Oakland)</b>	
	Port v. Marilyn Hartman
<b>Churchwell White (Sacramento)</b>	
	Hearing Officer
<b>Dawn L. Crater (Richmond)</b>	
	General Insurance Support/Recoveries
	Recoveries
<b>Donahue Fitzgerald (Oakland)</b>	
	Terminal Operators (PA, SSAT, etc) Lease/Contract Disputes and Claims

**ATTACHMENT A  
PORT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
PROPOSED OUTSIDE COUNSEL CONSULTANT BUDGET  
FOR FY17-18**

<b>LAW FIRM/CONSULTING FIRM/COST ITEMS</b>	<b>MATTER NAMES</b>
	Real Estate/Leasing Advice (Maritime & CRE)
	Real Estate/Leasing Advice (Aviation)
	Oakland Army Base/Seaport Logistics Center Real Estate Advice
	Intellectual Property
	Real Estate Litigation
<b>Drinker Biddle &amp; Reath LLP (Los Angeles)</b>	
	Benefits
<b>Edgcomb Law Group (San Francisco)</b>	
	Schnitzer Steel
<b>Farella Braun + Martel LLP (San Francisco)</b>	
	General Risk Management and Cost Recovery Advice
	GASB 49 Cost Recovery Claims Against Insurance Carriers/Insurance Advice Related to Pollution Conditions
	Oak to Ninth
	EBMUD/Thibodeaux
	Stormwater
<b>Fenwick &amp; West LLP (Mountain View)</b>	
	Employment Advice
<b>Gordon Creed Kelly Holl &amp; Sugerman (San Francisco)</b>	
	David Mack v. Port
<b>Gordon &amp; Polland LLP (Oakland)</b>	
	Litigation/Claim Strategies and Appeals
<b>Gordon &amp; Rees (Oakland)</b>	
	Claims
	GASB49
<b>Hanna Brophy MacLean McLeer &amp; Jensen, LLP (Oakland)*</b>	



**ATTACHMENT A  
PORT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
PROPOSED OUTSIDE COUNSEL CONSULTANT BUDGET  
FOR FY17-18**

<b>LAW FIRM/CONSULTING FIRM/COST ITEMS</b>	<b>MATTER NAMES</b>
	Workers' Compensation
<b>Hanson Bridgett (San Francisco)</b>	
	Employment Advice
	Employment Claims
	Sherri "Jean" Parks v. Port
<b>Jarvis Fay Doporto &amp; Gibson LLP (Oakland)</b>	
	Public Works Contracting Advice (General)
	Bid/Proposal Protests Advice
	7th Street Grade Separation RFP/Construction Documents
	Fees/taxes/assessments
<b>Jenner &amp; Block (Los Angeles)</b>	
	Litigation/Claims/Advice
	Drinking Water
	Air Quality
<b>Jonathan Kara (Walnut Creek)</b>	
	Research
	GASB 49 (Cost Recovery Matters)
	Environmental Compliance/GASB 49 Management
<b>JSP Associates (San Francisco)</b>	
	Trespass & Business Interruption
<b>Keker &amp; Van Nest LLP (San Francisco)</b>	
	Litigation
<b>Liebert Cassidy &amp; Whitmore (Los Angeles)</b>	
	Labor/Employment Advice
	Labor/Employment Claims



**ATTACHMENT A  
PORT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
PROPOSED OUTSIDE COUNSEL CONSULTANT BUDGET  
FOR FY17-18**

<b>LAW FIRM/CONSULTING FIRM/COST ITEMS</b>	<b>MATTER NAMES</b>
<b>Lubin Olson &amp; Niewiadomski (San Francisco)</b>	
	Personal Injury/Property Damage Claims/General Commercial Litigation/Operational Continuity/Security
<b>Meyers Nave (Oakland)</b>	
	Construction Claims and Litigation
<b>Mullen &amp; Filippi, LLP (Oakland)*</b>	
	Workers' Compensation
<b>Nancy Williams (San Francisco)</b>	
	Records Retention Schedule Advice and Port Attorney Migration to Electronic Records/Filing Projects
<b>Nixon Peabody (San Francisco)</b>	
	Powerly Supply Advice - Maritime Area
	Power Supply Claims
<b>Nossaman LLP (San Francisco)</b>	
	Railroad/Surface Transportation Board Advice
<b>O'Melveny &amp; Myers LLP (Los Angeles)</b>	
	Railyard Operator Contract
	Bond/Finance Matters
<b>Orrick Herrington &amp; Sutcliff (San Francisco)</b>	
	Bond/Tax/Finance Matters
	2017 Bond Transaction (paid out of bond proceeds) \$220,000 previously approved by the Board*
<b>Perkins Coie LLP (San Francisco)</b>	
	General CEQA Advice
	General CEQA Litigation
	Real Estate
	Administrative/Regulatory Advice & Claims
<b>Ramsey Law Group (Lafayette)</b>	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PORT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
PROPOSED OUTSIDE COUNSEL CONSULTANT BUDGET  
FOR FY17-18**

<b>LAW FIRM/CONSULTING FIRM/COST ITEMS</b>	<b>MATTER NAMES</b>
	General Commercial Collection and Litigation (Unlawful Detainers, etc.)
	Krauss v. Port, et al.
<b>Renne Sloan Holtzman &amp; Sakai (San Francisco)</b>	
	Labor Negotiations/Labor Advice
<b>Richard Thorson Graves &amp; Royer LLP (Oakland)*</b>	
	Workers' Compensation
<b>Rudder Law Group (Alameda)</b>	
	Aviation Regulations and Permitting
	EPA Administrative Order/Thibodeaux
<b>Saul Ewing (D.C.)</b>	
	Shipping Act Advice; Terminal Leasing Efficiency Issues/Operations (Shipping Act/FMC Advice)
	Maritime Claims
<b>Wendel Rosen Black &amp; Dean (Oakland)</b>	
	Clean Water/Environmental Regulatory Advice
	PRP Cost Recovery
	Utilities
	General Construction
	Construction Claims and Litigation
<b>Wiley Price &amp; Radulovich LLP (Alameda)*</b>	
	Labor Negotiations (over 2 fiscal years) Previously approved by the Board

**LAW FIRMS NOT CODED TO LEGAL BUDGETS\***